

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,658	01/03/2002	Kelly P. Hodsdon	42390P11765	6533	
8791	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	GOINS, DAVETTA WOODS			
12400 WILS SEVENTH I	SHIRE BOULEVARD FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2632	11	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	4 . 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,,								
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/037,6	58	HODSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		Davetta V		2632				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stal tory period will apply and will by statute, cause the approximation.	ent, however, may a rutory minimum of thir ill expire SIX (6) MON olication to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this column in the mailing date of this column.	mmunication.			
Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
		) ☐ This action is r	ion-final.					
3)□	·							
Disposit	on of Claims			·				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-19,21-27 is/are pending is/are day Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3-19 and 21-27 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		· ·	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the country							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/037,658

Art Unit: 2632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-19, and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barbour et al. (US Pat. 6,671,390 B1).

In reference to claims 1, 5, 10, 12, 15, Barbour discloses a) the claimed method of receiving input data of an event, which is met by one or more EM energy receiving devices 12A-12D receiving EM energy from the venue 14 at which the sport is being performed, the sports participants, at the venue, the sports articles (col. 5, lines 21-53), b) the claimed method of processing the input data to generate positional data, which is met by processing unit 18 utilizing the information convey via the EM energy to monitor, track and analyze the movements of the sports participants and articles (col. 6, lines 17-41), c) the claimed method of generating semantic information based on the positional data, which is met by upon tracking the participants and environmental conditions, the sport itself has features that are analyzed via information conveyed via the spatial phase of the EM energy and discerned via analysis such as location and time of the moving sports article or object, ball thrown, compilation of information leads to statistical analysis such as the number of plays; each play of the football game can include play set, ball handling participants, passing/running, fumble/recovery, etc. (col. 6, lines 17-64), and d)

Art Unit: 2632

the claimed method of transmitting the semantic information to an officiating entity of the event, which is met by the EM system 10 providing an image of all or part of the sports venue, participants, and sports articles on a display 32 (col. 7, lines 14-25). Although Barbour does not disclose the claimed method of generating semantic information based on the positional data and game rules of the sporting event, the semantic information describing an officiating event, he does disclose a processing unit 18, which utilizes information about the movements of the sports participants and articles, determines and categories the sports participants or article movement types, speed, acceleration, impact force, or the like. Also the analysis of each play in the game can include play set, success factor (yards gained), type (passing/running), ball handling, fumble/recovery, number/type of plays (col. 6, lines 1-64). The invention is not limited to any "one" kind of sport; the possible sports range from basketball, handball, golf, hockey, horseback riding and cycling, water diving, snow skiing, using a vehicle race track, tennis, hockey (Figure 8, col. 13, lines 30-67; col. 14, lines 1-32). Since Barbour disclose that any type of sport can be monitored within the invention and a processing unit determines the position of the players and takes into consideration the venue (e.g. boundary lines or other locating marks) in order to determine and analyze each play such as a fumble/recovery in the game, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention at the time of the invention to generate semantic information based on the positional data "and" game rules of the sporting event, such that the officiator is capable of receiving valid information pertaining to a specific sport that's being monitored.

Art Unit: 2632

In reference to claim 3, Barbour discloses the claimed sporting event a soccer game, which is met by monitoring soccer game (col. 12, lines 65-67; col. 13, lines 1-18 and Figure 8).

In reference to claim 4, although Barbour does not specifically disclose the claimed officiating entity is an event official, he does disclose a display 32 used for providing images of the venue, participants/articles (col. 7, lines 14-25). Since Barbour discloses a display 32 and since it is known that there are officials that monitor games, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an event official as one to officiate the event to ensure that the correct calls, plays, etc. of the game can be properly determined.

In reference to claim 6, Barbour discloses the claimed method comprising querying the event model database for an officiating event, which is met by the processing unit 18 used for analyzing various parameters of the sport via EM receiving devices 12A-12D (col. 6, lines 9-40).

In reference to claims 7, 8, 13, 14, 16-18, Barbour discloses the claimed method of generating an animation based on the positional data, which is met by the display 32 providing an image of all or part of the sports venue, the image providing a three-dimensional representation of the sports participants/articles and the venue, a contrast representation, a surface orientation/curvature representation, material composition, or low light level image (col. 7, lines 14-25).

Art Unit: 2632

In reference to claim 11, Barbour discloses the claimed data unit comprising a tracking system, which is met by the use of tag 102, located on each player, such that the sports participant's movement can be tracked (col. 11, lines 16-44).

In reference to claims 9, 17, 27, although Barbour does not specifically disclose the claimed method of transmitting the semantic information to an officiating interface, he does disclose a system 10 including a processing unit 18 performing the mathematical process on the polarization metric values within the group for each pixel location to provide an image value to be displayed to create the image at the display 32 (col. 44-50). Since Barbour discloses a processing unit that receives positional information about the sporting event and configures the information for providing images of the game and participants to the display, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit the semantics to the display as well as show the animated images as a means for allowing the officiating entity to compare visual images with information about the different plays that have taken place.

In reference to claims 19, 22, 23, Barbour discloses a) the claimed method of receiving input data of an event, which is met by one or more EM energy receiving devices 12A-12D receiving EM energy from the venue 14 at which the sport is being performed, the sports participants, at the venue, the sports articles (col. 5, lines 21-53), b) the claimed method of processing the input data to generate positional data, which is met by processing unit 18 utilizing the information convey via the EM energy to monitor, track and analyze the movements of the sports participants and articles (col. 6, lines 17-41), c) the claimed method of generating semantic information based

Art Unit: 2632

on the positional data, which is met by upon tracking the participants and environmental conditions, the sport itself has features that are analyzed via information conveyed via the spatial phase of the EM energy and discerned via analysis such as location and time of the moving sports article or object, ball thrown, compilation of information leads to statistical analysis such as the number of plays; each play of the football game can include play set, ball handling participants, passing/running, fumble/recovery, etc. (col. 6, lines 17-64), and d) the claimed method of transmitting the semantic information to an officiating entity of the event, which is met by the EM system 10 providing an image of all or part of the sports venue, participants, and sports articles on a display 32 (col. 7, lines 14-25). Although Barbour does not specifically disclose the claimed method of transmitting the semantic information to an officiating interface, he does disclose a system 10 including a processing unit 18 performing the mathematical process on the polarization metric values within the group for each pixel location to provide an image value to be displayed to create the image at the display 32 (col. 44-50). Although Barbour does not disclose the claimed method of generating semantic information based on the positional data and game rules of the sporting event, the semantic information describing an officiating event, he does disclose a processing unit 18, which utilizes information about the movements of the sports participants and articles, determines and categories the sports participants or article movement types, speed, acceleration, impact force, or the like. Also the analysis of each play in the game can include play set, success factor (yards gained), type (passing/running), ball handling, fumble/recovery, number/type of plays (col. 6, lines 1-64). The invention is not limited to any "one" kind of sport; the possible sports range from basketball, handball, golf, hockey, horseback riding and cycling, water diving, snow skiing, using a vehicle race track, tennis, hockey (Figure

8, col. 13, lines 30-67; col. 14, lines 1-32). Since Barbour disclose that any type of sport can be monitored within the invention and a processing unit determines the position of the players and takes into consideration the venue (e.g. boundary lines or other locating marks) in order to determine and analyze each play such as a fumble/recovery in the game, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention at the time of the invention to transmit the semantics to the display and show the animated images as well as generate semantic information based on the positional data "and" game rules of the sporting event, such that the officiator is capable of receiving valid information pertaining to a specific sport that's being monitored and be able to compare visual images with information about the different plays that have taken place.

In reference to claim 21, Barbour discloses the claimed sporting event a soccer game, which is met by monitoring soccer game (col. 12, lines 65-67; col. 13, lines 1-18 and Figure 8).

In reference to claims 23, 24, 26, Barbour discloses the claimed method of generating an animation based on the positional data, which is met by the display 32 providing an image of all or part of the sports venue, the image providing a three-dimensional representation of the sports participants/articles and the venue, a contrast representation, a surface orientation/curvature representation, material composition, or low light level image (col. 7, lines 14-25).

Art Unit: 2632

In reference to claims 35, Barbour discloses the claimed data unit comprising a tracking system, which is met by the use of tag 102, located on each player, such that the sports participant's movement can be tracked (col. 11, lines 16-44).

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davetta W. Goins whose telephone number is 703-306-2761. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2632

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVETTA W. GOINS PRIMARY EXAMINER

D.W.G.

August 20, 2004

Davetta W. Goins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2632